

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,508	11/02/2001	Ralph H. Reese	33267.00005.CON	6178	
36183 PAUL HASTI	7590 05/11/200 NGS, JANOFSKY & V	EXA	EXAMINER		
875 15th Street, NW			PHAN, JOSEPH T		
Washington, D	C 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/002,508	REESE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSEPH T. PHAN	2614	

	JOSEPH T. PHAN	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, whicin places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal (e)) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
Description of the reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejaction, whichever in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final region. Examiner Note: I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See WFEP 70.60.70.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office ther may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>79-99.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitation further defines applicant's invention which would require further consideration and/or search.